MycoKeys

MycoKeys 116: 1–23 (2025)
DOI: 10.3897/mycokeys.116.145857

Research Article

Morpho-phylogenetic evidence reveals four novel species of *Coniella* (Diaporthales, Schizoparmaceae) from southern China

Duhua Li[1,2], Zixu Dong[2], Qiyun Liu[2], Yaling Wang[2], Zhaoxue Zhang[2], Xiuguo Zhang[2], Jiwen Xia[1]

1 *College of Agriculture and Forestry, Linyi University, Linyi, Shandong, 276000, China*
2 *College of Plant Protection, Shandong Agricultural University, Taian, Shandong, 271018, China*
Corresponding author: Jiwen Xia (xiajiwen@lyu.edu.cn)

Abstract

Coniella species are distributed worldwide and have been reported as plant pathogens, endophytes, or saprobes. In our ongoing survey of terrestrial plant fungi in southern China, we obtained *Coniella* isolates from diseased plant leaf tissues in Fujian, Hainan, and Yunnan provinces. Maximum likelihood and Bayesian inference based on four loci (ITS, LSU, *rpb2*, and *tef1-α*) were used to clarify the taxonomic placement of the species. We confirmed that they represent four new species, namely *Coniella diaoluoshanensis*, *C. dongshanlingensis*, *C. grossedentatae*, and *C. veri* based on both morphology and phylogeny support. The new species are compared with other *Coniella* species, comprehensive descriptions and micrographs are provided.

Key words: Morphology, multigene phylogeny, new taxa, taxonomy

OPEN ACCESS

This article is part of:
Exploring the Hidden Fungal Diversity: Biodiversity, Taxonomy, and Phylogeny of Saprobic Fungi
Edited by Samantha C. Karunarathna, Danushka Sandaruwan Tennakoon, Ajay Kumar Gautam

Academic editor:
Danushka Sandaruwan Tennakoon
Received: 3 January 2025
Accepted: 26 February 2025
Published: 4 April 2025

Citation: Li D, Dong Z, Liu Q, Wang Y, Zhang Z, Zhang X, Xia J (2025) Morpho-phylogenetic evidence reveals four novel species of *Coniella* (Diaporthales, Schizoparmaceae) from southern China. MycoKeys 116: 1–23. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.116.145857

Copyright: © Duhua Li et al.
This is an open access article distributed under terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (Attribution 4.0 International – CC BY 4.0).

Introduction

Coniella was formally introduced by Von Höhnel (1918) with *C. pulchella* (= *C. fragariae* (Oudem.) B. Sutton) as the type species (Von Höhnel 1918; Sutton 1977; Crous et al. 2014a). Samuels et al. (1993) initially recognized the uniqueness of *Schizoparme* and its relationship to *Coniella* and *Pilidiella*, these were initially placed in the Melanconidaceae. Both Castlebury et al. (2002) and Van Niekerk et al. (2004) revealed that these species within the Diaporthales, which they collectively designated as the *Schizoparme* complex. Rossman et al. (2007) introduced a new family, Schizoparmaceae, which comprises the distinctive teleomorph genus *Schizoparme*, its asexual state *Pilidiella*, and the closely related anamorph genus *Coniella*. These genera are cosmopolitan fungal pathogens associated with foliar, fruit, stem, and root diseases on a wide variety of hosts, including some economically important hosts (Van Niekerk et al. 2004; Alvarez et al. 2016). They occur as parasites on unrelated dicotyledonous hosts (Samuels et al. 1993) or sometimes as secondary invaders of injured plant tissues (Ferreira et al. 1997).

Coniella has undergone comprehensive morpho-molecular studies and experienced several taxonomic adjustments over the years. Petrak and Sydow (1927) classified *Coniella* into two subgenera: *Euconiella* (dark conidia),

typified by *C. pulchella*, and *Pseudoconiella* (hyaline to pale conidia), typified by *C. granati*. Von Arx (1973, 1981) classified *Coniella* and *Pilidiella* as distinct genera, with *Coniella* characterized by dark brown conidia and *Pilidiella* by hyaline conidia that darken to a pale brown when mature. Nonetheless, Sutton (1980) and Nag Raj (1993) disregarded conidial pigmentation as a defining trait and still opted to employ the earlier name *Coniella*. Samuels et al. (1993) stated *Schizoparme* as the sexual morph and positioned it in Melanconidaceae. Castlebury et al. (2002) classified *Pilidiella* and *Coniella* as members of the *Schizoparme* complex. Van Niekerk et al. (2004) demonstrated that these taxa form a distinct evolutionary lineage within the Diaporthales based on ITS, LSU, and *tef1-α* sequences. Subsequently, Rossman et al. (2007) established a new family, Schizoparmaceae, including the above three genera, *viz*. *Coniella*, *Pilidiella*, and *Schizoparme*. Alvarez et al. (2016) demonstrated that *Coniella*, *Pilidiella*, and *Schizoparme* formed a monophyletic clade in Schizoparmaceae and suggested adopting *Coniella* (the older asexual typified name) instead of *Pilidiella* and *Schizoparme*, in accordance with Article 59.1 of the International Code of Nomenclature for Algae, Fungi, and Plants (ICN, Melbourne Code; McNeill et al. 2012). Additionally, due to the many numbers of species and the similarity in morphological characteristics, they suggested that the identification of new species within *Coniella* must be based on a combination of DNA sequence data and morphological characteristics. Chethana et al. (2017) used a combination of morphological analysis and multigene phylogeny with the genealogical concordance phylogenetic species recognition (GCPSR) method to delineate species boundaries. Hyde et al. (2020) and Tennakoon et al. (2021) conducted the recent phylogenetic analyses for *Coniella* species within the Schizoparmaceae. Currently, there are 66 accepted *Coniella* species (Index Fungorum: https://indexfungorum.org; MycoBank: http://www.mycobank.org; Mu et al. 2024).

In this study, we conducted extensive sample collection in southern China, primarily collecting plant leaves with obvious fungal necrosis or typical blight spot symptoms. Several *Coniella* fungi were collected from the diseased leaves of *Ampelopsis grossedentata*, *Cinnamomum verum*, *Kadsura longipedunculata*, and *Lygodium circinnatum*. Based on morphological and multi-locus analysis employing internal transcribed spacer (ITS), 28S large subunit ribosomal RNA gene (LSU), partial RNA polymerase II second largest subunit (*rpb2*), and translation elongation factor 1-alpha gene (*tef1-α*), four new *Coniella* species, namely *C. diaoluoshanensis*, *C. dongshanlingensis*, *C. grossedentatae*, and *C. veri*, were proposed.

Materials and methods

Sample collection and isolation

During 2022 to 2024, a large number of plant leaves that exhibited obvious signs of fungal necrosis or typical blight spot symptoms were collected from Fujian, Hainan, and Yunnan provinces in China. This study used tissue isolation methods to isolate fungi (Li et al. 2024). These diseased leaves were cut into small pieces of about 25 mm^2 and surface sterilized by immersion in a 75% ethanol solution for 60 s, washed one time in sterile deionized water

for 20 s, transferred to 5% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) for 90 s, and then washed three times in sterile deionized water for 60 s, subsequently dried on sterilized filter paper. The tissue pieces were transferred to the potato dextrose agar (PDA, 200 g potato, 20 g dextrose, 20 g agar, add deionized water and fill to 1000 mL, natural pH) plates and placed in a biological incubator at 25 °C for 3–4 days. The hyphal tips of individual colonies were transferred to new PDA plates to obtain pure cultures, which were then cut into 25 mm^2 pieces using a sterile scalpel and stored in 2 mL frozen tubes containing 20% sterilized glycerin, with 8–10 pieces placed in each tube, for fungal strain preservation at -20 °C for further study.

Morphological and cultural characterization

The culture characteristics of the colonies were observed and photographed using a Sony Alpha 6400L digital camera (Sony Group Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) on 7 and 14 days, respectively. The micromorphological characteristics of the colonies were observed with the Olympus SZX10 stereomicroscope and Olympus BX53 microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), along with the BioHD-A20c color digital camera (FluoCa Scientific, China, Shanghai). Structural measurements were carried out using Digimizer software (v5.6.0) with a minimum of 30 measurements taken for each structure, such as conidiophores, conidiogenous cells, and conidia. The voucher specimens have been deposited in the Herbarium of the Department of Plant Pathology, Shandong Agricultural University, Taian, China (HSAUP). Additionally, the ex-type living cultures were deposited in the Shandong Agricultural University Culture Collection (SAUCC) and the China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center (CGMCC). The taxonomic information of the new taxa were submitted to MycoBank (http://www.mycobank.org, accessed on 2 Jan. 2025).

DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and sequencing

The DNA of the fungal genome was extracted using the modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Guo et al. 2000; Wang et al. 2023) or the magnetic bead kit method (OGPLF-400, GeneOnBio Corporation, Changchun, China) (Zhang et al. 2023). PCR amplifications of four genes (ITS, LSU, *rpb2*, and *tef1-α*) were done, and the corresponding primer pairs and PCR conditions were listed in Table 1. The PCR reaction was conducted in a 12 μL reaction volume, with a composition of 6 μL of 2 × Hieff Canace® Plus PCR Master Mix (with dye) (Cat. No. 10154ES03, Yeasen Biotechnology, Shanghai, China), 0.5 μL each of forward and reverse primer (10 μM TsingKe, Qingdao, China), and 0.5 μL of template genomic DNA (about 10 ng/μL), with the volume adjusted to 12 μL using distilled deionized water. PCR products were separated using 1% agarose gel and GelRed (TsingKe, Qingdao, China). Gel extraction was purified using a Gel Extraction Kit (Cat. No. AE0101-C, Shandong Sparkjade Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Jinan, China). The purified PCR products were subjected to bidirectional sequencing by Sangon Biotech Company Limited (Shanghai, China). The raw data were analyzed using MEGA v. 7.0 to obtain consistent sequences (Kumar et al. 2016). The sequence data have been deposited in GenBank, and their accession numbers were listed in Table 2.

Table 1. The primer sequences and PCR programs in this study.

Locus	Primers	Sequence (5' – 3')	PCR cycles	References
ITS	ITS5	GGA AGT AAA AGT CGT AAC AAG G	(94 °C: 30 s, 55 °C: 30 s, 72 °C: 45 s) × 29 cycles	White et al. 1990
	ITS4	TCC TCC GCT TAT TGA TAT GC		
LSU	LR0R	GTA CCC GCT GAA CTT AAG C	(94 °C: 30 s, 48 °C: 50 s, 72 °C: 1 min 30 s) × 35 cycles	Vilgalys and Hester 1990; Rehner and Samuels 1994
	LR5	TCC TGA GGG AAA CTT CG		
rpb2	RPB2-5F2	GGG GWG AYC AGA AGA AGG C	(94 °C: 45 s, 60 °C: 45 s, 72 °C: 2 min) × 5 cycles, (94 °C: 45 s, 54 °C: 45 s, 72 °C: 2 min) × 30 cycles	Liu et al. 1999; Sung et al. 2007
	RPB2-7CR	CCC ATR GCT TGY TTR CCC AT		
tef1-α	EF1-728F	CAT CGA GAA GTT CGA GAA GG	(95 °C: 30 s, 51 °C: 30 s, 72 °C: 1 min) × 35 cycles	O'Donnell et al. 1998; Carbone and Kohn 1999
	EF2	GGA RGT ACC AGT SAT CAT GTT		

Table 2. Species names, strain numbers, hosts or substrates, regions, and corresponding GenBank accession numbers of DNA sequences used in this study.

Species	Strain numbers	Host/Substrate	Region	GenBank accession numbers				References
				ITS	LSU	*rpb2*	*tef1-α*	
Coniella africana	CBS 114133* = CPC405	*Eucalyptus nitens*	South Africa	AY339344	AY339293	KX833421	KX833600	Van Niekerk et al. 2004; Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella castanea	SAUCC200313*	*Castanea mollissima*	China	OL757537	OL757563	OL770463	OL780610	Wang et al. 2022
	SAUCC200314	*Castanea mollissima*	China	OL757538	OL757564	OL770464	OL780611	Wang et al. 2022
Coniella cili	GUCC 194020.1	*Rosa roxburghii*	China	ON791171	ON791212	ON815908	ON815944	Zhang et al. 2024b
	GUCC 196007.1*	*Rosa roxburghii*	China	ON791172	ON791213	ON815909	ON815945	Zhang et al. 2024b
Coniella crousii	NFCCI 2213	*Terminalia chebula*	India	HQ264189	NA	NA	NA	Rajeshkumar et al. 2011
Coniella diaoluoshanensis	**CGMCC3.27786* = SAUCC 7481-1**	***Kadsura longipedunculata***	**China**	**PQ357094**	**PQ357134**	**PQ361030**	**PQ404804**	**This study**
	SAUCC 7481-4	***Kadsura longipedunculata***	**China**	**PQ357095**	**PQ357135**	**PQ361031**	**PQ404805**	**This study**
Coniella diospyri	CBS 145071* = CPC 34674	*Diospyros mespiliformis*	South Africa	MK047439	MK047489	MK047543	MK047562	Crous et al. 2018
Coniella diplodiella	CBS 111858* = CPC3708	*Vitis vinifera*	France	AY339323	KX833335	KX833423	KX833603	Van Niekerk et al. 2004; Alvarez et al. 2016
	CBS 112729 = CPC3927	*Vitis vinifera*	South Africa	KX833520	KX833345	KX833433	KX833613	Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella diplodiopsis	CBS 109.23 = CPC 3933	*Vitis vinifera*	Switzerland	NA	AY339287	KX833440	KX833624	Van Niekerk et al. 2004; Alvarez et al. 2016
	CBS 590.84* = CPC 3940	*Vitis vinifera*	Italy	AY339334	AY339288	NA	NA	Van Niekerk et al. 2004
	CBS 116310 = CPC 3793	*Vitis vinifera*	Italy	KX833532	KX833357	KX833443	KX833627	Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella dongshanlingensis	**CGMCC3.27785* = SAUCC 7265-5**	***Lygodium circinnatum***	**China**	**PQ357090**	**PQ357130**	**PQ361026**	**PQ404800**	**This study**
	SAUCC 7265-6	***Lygodium circinnatum***	**China**	**PQ357091**	**PQ357131**	**PQ361027**	**PQ404801**	**This study**
Coniella duckerae	CBS 142045*= VPRI 13689	*Lepidospermum concavum*	Australia	KY924929	NA	NA	NA	Marin-Felix et al. 2017
Coniella erumpens	CBS 523.78*	Rotten wood	Chile	KX833535	KX833361	KX833446	KX833630	Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella eucalyptigena	CBS 139893* = CPC 24793	*Eucalyptus brassiana*	Malaysia	KR476725	KR476760	NA	NA	Crous et al. 2015a
Coniella eucalyptorum	CBS 112640* = CPC 3904 = DFR 100185	*Eucalyptus grandis* × *E. tereticornis*	Australia	AY339338	AY339290	KX833452	KX833637	Van Niekerk et al. 2004; Alvarez et al. 2016
	CBS 114852	*Eucalyptus* sp.	Australia	KX833556	KX833380	KX833464	KX833652	Alvarez et al. 2016

Species	Strain numbers	Host/Substrate	Region	GenBank accession numbers				References
				ITS	LSU	*rpb2*	*tef1-α*	
Coniella fici	MFLU 18-2578*	*Ficus septica*	China	MW114356	MW114417	NA	NA	Tennakoon et al. 2021
Coniella fragariae	CBS 172.49* = CPC 3930	*Fragaria* sp.	Belgium	AY339317	AY339282	KX833472	KX833663	Van Niekerk et al. 2004; Alvarez et al. 2016
	CBS 454.68	*Malus sylvestris*	Denmark	KX833571	KX833393	KX833477	KX833670	Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella fujianensis	CGMCC3.25353	*Canarium album*	China	OR623057	OR623054	OR637413	OR637415	Mu et al. 2024
	CGMCC3.25354*	*Canarium album*	China	OR623058	OR623055	OR637414	OR637416	Mu et al. 2024
Coniella fusiformis	CBS 141596* = CPC 19722	*Eucalyptus* sp.	Indonesia	KX833576	KX833397	KX833481	KX833674	Alvarez et al. 2016
	CBS 114850	*Eucalyptus pellita*	Australia	KX833574	KX833395	KX833479	KX833672	Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella granati	CBS 132860	*Punica granatum*	Turkey	KX833577	KX833400	KX833484	KX833677	Alvarez et al. 2016
	CBS 252.38 = ATCC 12685 = CPC 3714	*Vitis vinifera*	Italy	KX833581	AY339291	KX833488	KX833681	Van Niekerk et al. 2004; Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella grossedentatae	**SAUCC 1354-1**	***Ampelopsis grossedentata***	**China**	**PQ357062**	**PQ357102**	**PQ361000**	**PQ404774**	**This study**
	CGMCC3.27783*= SAUCC 1354-3	***Ampelopsis grossedentata***	**China**	**PQ357063**	**PQ357103**	**PQ361001**	**PQ404775**	**This study**
Coniella heterospora	CBS 143031* = FMR 15231	Herbivorous dung	Spain	LT800501	LT800500	LT800502	LT800503	Crous et al. 2017
Coniella hibisci	CBS 109757* = AR 3534	*Hibiscus* sp.	Africa	KX833589	AF408337	NA	KX833689	Castlebury et al. 2002; Marin-Felix et al. 2017
Coniella javanica	CBS 455.68*	*Hibiscus sabdariffai*	Indonesia	KX833583	KX833403	KX833489	KX833683	Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella koreana	CBS 143.97*	NA	South Korea	KX833584	AF408378	KX833490	KX833684	Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella lanneae	CBS 141597* = CPC 22200	*Lannea* sp.	Zambia	KX833585	KX833404	KX833491	KX833685	Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella limoniformis	CBS 111021* = PPRI 3870 = CPC 3828	*Fragaria* sp.	South Africa	KX833586	KX833405	KX833492	KX833686	Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella lustricola	DAOMC 251731*	NA	America	MF631778	MF631799	MF651900	MF651899	Raudabaugh et al. 2018
	DAOMC 251732	NA	America	MF631779	MF631800	NA	NA	Raudabaugh et al. 2018
	DAOMC 251733	NA	America	MF631780	MF631801	NA	NA	Raudabaugh et al. 2018
	DAOMC 251734	NA	America	MF631781	MF631802	NA	NA	Raudabaugh et al. 2018
Coniella macrospora	CBS 524.73* = CPC 3935	*Terminalia ivoriensisstem*	Ivory Coast	KX833587	AY339292	KX833493	KX833687	Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella malaysiana	CBS 141598* = CPC 16659	*Corymbia torelliana*	Malaysia	KX833588	KX833406	KX833494	KX833688	Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella nicotianae	CBS 875.72* = PD 72/793	*Nicotiana tabacum*	Jamaica	KX833590	KX833407	KX833495	KX833690	Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella nigra	CBS 165.60* = IMI 181519 = IMI 181599 = CPC 4198	Soil	India	AY339319	KX833408	KX833496	KX833691	Van Niekerk et al. 2004; Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella obovata	CBS 111025 = CPC 4196 = IMI 261318	Leaves	South Africa	AY339313	KX833409	KX833497	KX833692	Van Niekerk et al. 2004; Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella paracastaneicola	CBS 141292* = CPC 20146	*Eucalyptus* sp.	Australia	KX833591	KX833410	KX833498	KX833693	Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella peruensis	CBS 110394* = RMF 74.01	Soil of rain forest	Peru	KJ710463	KJ710441	KX833499	KX833695	Crous et al. 2015b; Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella pseudodiospyri	CBS 145540* = CPC 35725	*Eucalyptus microcorys*	Australia	MK876381	MK876422	MK876479	MK876493	Crous et al. 2019
Coniella pseudogranati	CBS 137980* = CPC 22545	*Terminalia stuhlmannii*	Zambia	KJ869132	KJ869189	NA	NA	Crous et al. 2014b

Species	Strain numbers	Host/Substrate	Region	GenBank accession numbers				References
				ITS	LSU	*rpb2*	*tef1-α*	
Coniella pseudokoreana	MFLU 13-0282* = MFLUCC 12-0427	Leaves	Thailand	MF190145	NA	NA	NA	Senanayake et al. 2017
Coniella pseudostraminea	CBS 112624* = IMI 233050	*Fragaria* sp.	South Africa	KX833593	KX833412	KX833500	KX833696	Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella quercicola	CBS 283.76	Excrements of *Glomerus*, which had eaten forest soil	The Netherlands	KX833594	KX833413	KX833501	KX833697	Alvarez et al. 2016
	CBS 904.69*	*Quercus robur*	The Nether-lands	KX833595	KX833414	KX833502	KX833698	Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella solicola	CBS 766.71*	Soil	South Africa	KX833597	KX833416	KX833505	KX833701	Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella straminea	CBS 149.22 = CPC 3932	*Fragaria* sp.	USA	AY339348	AY339296	KX833506	KX833704	Van Niekerk et al. 2004; Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella tibouchinae	CBS 131594* = CPC 18511	*Tibouchina granulosa*	Brazil	JQ281774	KX833418	KX833507	JQ281778	Miranda et al. 2012; Alvarez et al. 2016
Coniella veri	**CGMCC3.27787* = SAUCC 8877-4**	***Cinnamomum verum***	**China**	**PQ357098**	**PQ357138**	**PQ361034**	**PQ404810**	**This study**
	SAUCC 8877-7	***Cinnamomum verum***	**China**	**PQ357099**	**PQ357139**	**PQ361035**	**PQ404811**	**This study**
Coniella vitis	MFLUCC 16-1399* = JZB3700001	*Vitis vinifera*	China	KX890008	KX890083	NA	KX890058	Chethana et al. 2017
Coniella wangiensis	CBS 132530* = CPC 19397	*Eucalyptus* sp.	Australia	JX069873	JX069857	KX833509	KX833705	Crous et al. 2012; Alvarez et al. 2016
Dwiroopa lythri	CBS 109755* = AR 3383	*Lythrum salicaria*	USA	MN172410	MN172389	MN271801	MN271859	Jiang et al. 2020

Notes: New species established in this study are shown in bold. Those marked "*" in the table are represented as ex-type or ex-epitype strains. NA: Not available.

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses

The nucleotide sequences of four new species were submitted to the NCBI's GenBank nucleotide database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, accessed on 2 Jan. 2025), and all related species were retrieved for phylogenetic analysis. Multiple sequences were aligned using MAFFT version 7 (http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/index.html, accessed on 2 Jan. 2025) with default settings, and manual correction was applied if necessary (Katoh et al. 2019). For phylogenetic analyses, single and concatenated sequences were subjected to analysis by Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) algorithms, respectively. Both ML and BI were executed on the CIPRES Science Gateway portal (https://www.phylo.org/, accessed on 2 Jan. 2025) or offline software (ML was executed in RaxML-HPC2 on XSEDE v8.2.12 and BI analysis was executed in MrBayes v3.2.7a with 64 threads on Linux) (Miller et al. 2012; Ronquist et al. 2012; Stamatakis 2014). For the ML analysis, the default parameters were used, and 1,000 rapid bootstrap replicates were run with the GTR+G+I model of nucleotide evolution; for BI, it was performed using a rapid bootstrapping algorithm with an automatic stop option and utilized MrModeltest v.2.3 to determine the best evolutionary model for each partition (Nylander 2004; Zhang et al. 2024a). Bayesian Inference posterior probabilities (BIPP) were evaluated by Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) (Rannala and Yang 1996; Zhaxybayeva and Gogarten 2002). The BI analyses encompassed two parallel runs spanning 5,000,000 generations, with a stop rule incorporated and a sampling frequency of 50 generations. The burn-in fraction was set at 0.25, and posterior probabilities were calculated

from the remaining trees. The resulting trees were generated using FigTree v. 1.4.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree, accessed on 2 Jan. 2025) or ITOL: Interactive Tree of Life (https://itol.embl.de/, accessed on 2 Jan. 2025) (Letunic and Bork 2021), and the final layout of the trees was refined in Adobe Illustrator CC 2019. The names of the isolates in this study are marked in red in the phylogenetic tree.

Results

Molecular phylogeny

Initially, based on the ITS sequence data, we preliminarily determined that the eight strains belong to *Coniella*. Subsequently, based on ML and BI methods, we conducted a combined analysis of ITS, LSU, *rpb2*, and *tef1-α* gene data to construct phylogenetic trees for further determination of the phylogenetic position of these strains. The phylogenetic analysis of *Coniella* strains included 63 sequences, with *Dwiroopa lythri* (CBS 109755) serving as the outgroup. The final alignment comprised 2800 concatenated characters, *viz*. 1–600 (ITS), 601–1380 (LSU), 1381–2140 (*rpb2*), and 2141–2800 (*tef1-α*). The ML optimization likelihood was calculated to be -23461.791405. The matrix exhibited 1116 distinct alignment patterns, with 18.42% of characters or gaps remaining undetermined. The optimal models, evaluated by MrModeltest and selected in the BI, are as follows: the SYM+I+G model for ITS and the GTR+I+G model for LSU, *rpb2*, and *tef1-α*. The alignment exhibited a total of 1121 unique site patterns (ITS: 211, LSU: 78, *rpb2*: 322, *tef1-α*: 510). The topology of the ML tree concurred with that derived from BI; thus, only the ML tree is presented (Fig. 1). Combining morphological characteristics and molecular phylogenetic analyses, the eight strains in this study were introduced as four new species, namely *Coniella diaoluoshanensis*, *C. dongshanlingensis*, *C. grossedentatae*, and *C. veri*.

Taxonomy

Coniella diaoluoshanensis **D.H. Li, J.W. Xia & X.G. Zhang, sp. nov.**
MycoBank No: 856520
Fig. 2

Holotype. China • Hainan Province: Diaoluoshan National Forest Park, on diseased leaves of *Kadsura longipedunculata* (Schisandraceae), 18.660546°N, 109.936445°E, 94.1 m asl., 27 Mar. 2024, D.H. Li, holotype HSAUP 7481-1, ex-type living culture SAUCC 7481-1 = CGMCC3.27786.

Etymology. Named after the collection site of the type specimen, Diaoluoshan National Forest Park.

Description. ***Hypha*** immersed, 1.9–6.5 µm wide, branched, multi-septate, enlarged towards septum and terminal, hyaline. Asexual morph: ***Conidiomata*** nearly spherical, separate, scarce, immersed or superficial, surface uneven, sizes inconsistent, black. ***Conidiophores*** cylindrical, aseptate, straight or slightly curved, densely aggregated, simple, smooth, usually reduced to conidiogenous cells. ***Conidiogenous cells*** phialidic, simple, aggregative, hyaline, smooth, 8.1–11 × 1.4–2.6 µm (mean ± SD = 9.6 ± 0.8 × 2.1 ± 0.4 µm, n = 30), with apical periclinal



Figure 1. Phylogenetic relationship of *Coniella* based on concatenated sequences of ITS, LSU, *rpb2*, and *tef1-α* sequence data with *Dwiroopa lythri* (CBS 109755) as the outgroup. The Maximum Likelihood Bootstrap Value (left, MLBV ≥ 75%) and the Bayesian Inference Posterior Probability (right, BIPP ≥ 0.90) are shown as MLBV/BIPP above the nodes. The ex-type strains are marked with "*" and indicated in boldface. Strains from this study are shown in red. The scale bar at the bottom left represents 0.05 substitutions per site. Some branches are shortened according to the indicated multipliers to fit the page size, and these are indicated by the symbol (//).



Figure 2. *Coniella diaoluoshanensis* (CGMCC3.27786) **a** leaves of *Kadsura longipedunculata* **b, c** surface and reverse sides of colony after 14 days on PDA (**b**) and OA (**c**) **d** conidiomata forming on OA **e, f** conidiophores and conidiogenous cells with developing conidia **g, h** conidia. Scale bars: 10 μm (**e–h**).

thickening, blastospore at the apex. ***Conidia*** elliptical or fusiform, apices tapering, subobtuse, apically rounded, widest at the middle, bases tapering to a truncate hilum, multi-guttulate, immature conidia hyaline, mature conidia pale olivaceous, wall darker than pale olivaceous body of conidium, smooth, 7.5–9.3 × 4.7–5.5 μm (mean ± SD = 8.4 ± 0.5 × 5.1 ± 0.3 μm, n = 30). Sexual morph unknown.

Culture characteristics. Colonies on PDA after 14 days of cultivation in the dark at 25 °C, reaching 75–77 mm in diam., with a growth rate of 5.4–5.5 mm/day; from above: white to cream-colored with age, sparse aerial mycelium at the center, irregularly circular, slightly low; peripheral mycelium dense, concentric rings, flat; colony edge irregular, sparse aerial mycelium, dispersed, striped; reverse: similar in color. Colonies on OA covering entire plate after 14 days of cultivation in

the dark at 25 °C; from above: white, devoid of aerial mycelium at the center, with dispersed and sparse aerial mycelium at the edges; reverse: even white texture.

Additional material studied. CHINA • Hainan Province: Diaoluoshan National Forest Park, on diseased leaves of *Kadsura longipedunculata* (Schisandraceae), 18.660546°N, 109.936445°E, 94.1 m asl., 27 Mar. 2024, D.H. Li, HSAUP 7481-4, living culture SAUCC 7481-4.

Notes. Phylogenetic analyses showed that *Coniella diaoluoshanensis* formed an independent clade (Fig. 1) and was closely related to *C. eucalyptigena* (CBS 139893), *C. eucalyptorum* (CBS 112640 and CBS 114852), and *C. malaysiana* (CBS 141598). *Coniella diaoluoshanensis* was distinguished from *C. eucalyptigena* by 4/573 and 7/791 base-pair differences in ITS and LSU sequences, from *C. eucalyptorum* (CBS 112640) by 19/565, 7/793, 68/765, and 164/539 base-pair differences in ITS, LSU, *rpb2*, and *tef1-α* sequences, and from *C. malaysiana* by 16/553, 7/783, 67/767, and 154/488 base-pair differences in ITS, LSU, *rpb2*, and *tef1-α* sequences, respectively. Morphologically, *C. eucalyptigena* lacks asexual sporulation description, making it impossible to compare microscopic structures with *C. diaoluoshanensis*. However, their macroscopic colony colors differ greatly: on PDA, *C. diaoluoshanensis* is cream-colored while *C. eucalyptigena* is salmon; on OA, *C. diaoluoshanensis* is white on the surface, whereas *C. eucalyptigena* is rosy buff. Morphologically, since *C. eucalyptigena* only had a description of sexual morphology, it could not be directly compared with the asexual morphology in this study. Then, *C. eucalyptorum* and *C. malaysiana*, which were closely related on the evolutionary tree, were selected for comparison. The conidiogenous cells of *C. diaoluoshanensis* (8.1–11 × 1.4–2.6 µm) shorter than those of *C. eucalyptorum* (10–17 × 3–3.5 µm) and *C. malaysiana* (8.5–18 × 1.5–3.5 µm); the conidia of *C. diaoluoshanensis* (7.5–9.3 × 4.7–5.5 µm) shorter than those of *C. eucalyptorum* (9–14 × 6–8 µm) and *C. malaysiana* (8–11.5 × 3–5 µm); and the mature conidial color of *C. diaoluoshanensis* (pale olivaceous) was lighter than that of *C. eucalyptorum* (medium to dark red-brown) and *C. malaysiana* (pale brown) (Van Niekerk et al. 2004; Crous et al. 2015a; Alvarez et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2024b). Therefore, we describe our collection as a novel species.

Coniella dongshanlingensis D.H. Li, J.W. Xia & X.G. Zhang, sp. nov.

MycoBank No: 856519
Fig. 3

Holotype. CHINA • Hainan Province: Dongshanling Scenic Area, on diseased leaves of *Lygodium circinnatum* (Lygodiaceae), 18.802153°N, 110.421473°E, 18.8 m asl., 26 Mar. 2024, D.H. Li, holotype HSAUP 7265-5, ex-type living culture SAUCC 7265-5 = CGMCC3.27785.

Etymology. Named after the collection site of the type specimen, Dongshanling Scenic Area.

Description. ***Hypha*** superficial, 1.1–3.2 µm wide, less branched, multi-septate, hyaline to pale yellow. Asexual morph: ***Conidiomata*** pycnidial to nearly spherical, separate, superficial, surface enveloped in a gelatinous sheath, sizes inconsistent, initially appearing hyaline, becoming black with mature. ***Conidiophores*** cylindrical, aseptate, straight or slightly curved, densely aggregated, simple, smooth, usually reduced to conidiogenous cells. ***Conidiogenous cells*** phialidic,



Figure 3. *Coniella dongshanlingensis* (CGMCC3.27785) **a** a leaf of *Lygodium circinnatum* **b, c** surface and reverse sides of colony after 14 days on PDA (**b**) and OA (**c**) **d, e** conidiomata forming on PDA **f, g** conidiophores and conidiogenous cells with developing conidia **h, i** conidia. Scale bars: 10 µm (**f–i**).

simple, aggregative, hyaline, smooth, 7.3–19.2 × 1.5–3.3 µm (mean ± SD = 12.6 ± 2.6 × 2.4 ± 0.5 µm, n = 30), with apical periclinal thickening, blastospore at the apex. ***Conidia*** elliptical to fusiform, apices tapering, subobtuse, apically rounded, bases tapering to a truncate hilum, immature conidia hyaline, multi-guttulate, mature conidia olivaceous, 1–2 guttulate, wall darker than olivaceous body of conidium, smooth, 7.8–10 × 5.1–7 µm (mean ± SD = 8.7 ± 0.6 × 6.2 ± 0.4 µm, n = 30). Sexual morph unknown.

Culture characteristics. Colonies on PDA after 14 days of cultivation in the dark at 25 °C, reaching 47–50 mm in diam., with a growth rate of 3.4–3.6 mm/day; from above: white to pale orange with age, medium aerial mycelium, circular, slightly low at the center, slightly higher at the edges; reverse: similar in color.

Colonies on OA covering entire plate after 14 days of cultivation in the dark at 25 °C; from above: pale orange, interspersed with extensive black pycnidia, medium aerial mycelium, flat; reverse: similar in color.

Additional material studied. China • Hainan Province: Dongshanling Scenic Area, on diseased leaves of *Lygodium circinnatum* (Lygodiaceae), 18.802153°N, 110.421473°E, 18.8 m asl., 26 Mar. 2024, D.H. Li, HSAUP 7265-6, living culture SAUCC 7265-6.

Notes. Phylogenetic analyses showed that *Coniella dongshanlingensis* formed an independent clade (Fig. 1) and was closely related to *C. fujianensis* (CGMCC3.25353 and CGMCC3.25354). *Coniella dongshanlingensis* was distinguished from *C. fujianensis* (CGMCC3.25354) by 5/589, 9/657, and 19/306 base-pair differences in ITS, *rpb2*, and *tef1-α* sequences, respectively. Morphologically, the conidiogenous cells of *C. dongshanlingensis* (7.3–19.2 × 1.5–3.3 μm) are longer than those of *C. fujianensis* (3.5–8 × 2.5–3.5 μm); the conidia of *C. dongshanlingensis* (7.8–10 × 5.1–7 μm) slightly shorter than those of *C. fujianensis* (8–10.5 × 5.5–7.5 μm), and the mature conidial color of *C. dongshanlingensis* (olivaceous) is lighter than that of *C. fujianensis* (brown) (Mu et al. 2024). Therefore, we describe our collection as a novel species.

Coniella grossedentatae **D.H. Li, J.W. Xia & X.G. Zhang, sp. nov.**

MycoBank No: 856518

Fig. 4

Holotype. China • Fujian Province: Wuyishan City, Xingcun Town, on diseased leaves of *Ampelopsis grossedentata* (Vitaceae), 27.749556°N, 117.679038°E, 751.68 m asl., 15 Oct. 2022, D.H. Li, holotype HSAUP 1354-3, ex-type living culture SAUCC 1354-3 = CGMCC3.27783.

Etymology. Named after the species epithet of the host plant, *Ampelopsis grossedentata*.

Description. ***Hypha*** superficial, 1.3–3.5 μm wide, branched, multi-septate, hyaline to pale orange. Asexual morph: ***Conidiomata*** spherical or narrowly ellipsoid, separate, immersed or superficial, some surfaces enveloped in a gelatinous sheath, some surface uneven, sizes inconsistent, black. ***Conidiophores*** cylindrical, aseptate, straight or slightly curved, densely aggregated, simple, usually reduced to conidiogenous cells. ***Conidiogenous cells*** phialidic, simple, aggregative, hyaline, smooth, 10.6–23.1 × 1.7–3.8 μm (mean ± SD = 16.8 ± 3 × 2.5 ± 0.6 μm, n = 30), with apical periclinal thickening, blastospore at the apex. ***Conidia*** nearly spherical, apices acute, widest at the middle, bases tapering to a truncate hilum, multi-guttulate, immature conidia hyaline, mature conidia medium brown, wall darker than medium brown body of conidium, smooth, 8–10.5 × 7.5–9.5 μm (mean ± SD = 9.4 ± 0.6 × 8.4 ± 0.5 μm, n = 30). Sexual morph unknown.

Culture characteristics. Colonies on PDA after 14 days of cultivation in the dark at 25 °C, reaching 86–90 mm in diam., with a growth rate of 6.1–6.4 mm/day; from above: orange in the middle and edges, with white in between, medium aerial mycelium, granular, circular, flat; reverse: similar in color. Colonies on OA covering entire plate after 14 days of cultivation in the dark at 25 °C; from above: white in the middle and edges, with orange in between, sparse aerial mycelium, flat; reverse: similar in color.



Figure 4. *Coniella grossedentatae* (CGMCC3.27783) **a** leaves of *Ampelopsis grossedentata* **b, c** surface and reverse sides of colony after 14 days on PDA (**b**) and OA (**c**) **d** colony on PDA **e** conidiomata forming on pine needle **f, g** conidiophores and conidiogenous cells with developing conidia **h, i** conidia. Scale bars: 10 μm **(f–i)**.

Additional material studied. CHINA • Fujian Province: Wuyishan City, Xingcun Town, on diseased leaves of *Ampelopsis grossedentata* (Vitaceae), 27.749556°N, 117.679038°E, 751.68 m asl., 15 Oct. 2022, D.H. Li, HSAUP 1354-1, living culture SAUCC 1354-1.

Notes. Phylogenetic analyses showed that *Coniella grossedentatae* formed an independent clade (Fig. 1) basal to *C. dongshanlingensis* (CGMCC3.27785, SAUCC 7265-6), *C. fujianensis* (CGMCC 3.25353, CGMCC 3.25354), and *C. wangiensis* (CBS 132530). *Coniella grossedentatae* can be distinguished from *C. dongshanlingensis* by 4/604, 1/793, 52/902, and 80/532 base-pair differences in ITS, LSU, *rpb2*, and *tef1-α* sequences, and from *C. fujianensis* by 8/588, 1/798, 34/657, and 64/313 base-pair differences in ITS, LSU, *rpb2*, and *tef1-α*

sequences, and from *C. wangiensis* by 2/603, 5/798, 35/767, and 79/329 base-pair differences in ITS, LSU, *rpb2*, and *tef1-α* sequences, respectively. Morphologically, the conidiogenous cells of *C. grossedentatae* (10.6–23.1 × 1.7–3.8 µm) are longer than those of *C. dongshanlingensis* (7.3–19.2 × 1.5–3.3 µm), *C. fujianensis* (3.5–8 × 2.5–3.5 µm), and *C. wangiensis* (15–20 × 3–4 µm); the conidia of *C. grossedentatae* (8–10.5 × 7.5–9.5 µm) are wider than those of *C. dongshanlingensis* (7.8–10 × 5.1–7 µm) and *C. fujianensis* (8–10.5 × 5.5–7.5 µm), and shorter than those of *C. wangiensis* (9–13 × 7–10 µm) (Crous et al. 2012; Alvarez et al. 2016). Therefore, we describe our collection as a novel species.

Coniella veri **D.H. Li, J.W. Xia & X.G. Zhang, sp. nov.**

MycoBank No: 856521

Fig. 5

Holotype. China • Yunnan Province: Pu'er City, Yixiang Town, Pu'er Sun River Forest Park, on diseased leaves of *Cinnamomum verum* (Lauraceae), 22.593953°N, 101.086217°E, 1596.44 m asl., 15 May 2024, D.H. Li, holotype HSAUP 8877-4, ex-type living culture SAUCC 8877-4 = CGMCC3.27787.

Etymology. Named after the species epithet of the host plant, *Cinnamomum verum*.

Description. ***Hypha*** superficial, 1.3–3.3 µm wide, branched, multi-septate, hyaline. Asexual morph: ***Conidiomata*** spherical, aggregated or solitary, immersed or superficial, some surfaces enveloped in a gelatinous sheath, some surface uneven, sizes inconsistent, initially appearing hyaline, becoming black with mature. ***Conidiophores*** cylindrical, septate, branched, straight or slightly curved, densely aggregated, simple, usually reduced to conidiogenous cells. ***Conidiogenous cells*** phialidic, simple, aggregative, or solitary, hyaline, smooth, 9.5–17.5 × 1.2–2.5 µm (mean ± SD = 12.5 ± 1.5 × 1.8 ± 0.4 µm, n = 30), with apical periclinal thickening, blastospore at the apex. ***Conidia*** elliptical to fusiform, apices acute, widest at the middle, bases tapering to a truncate hilum, multi-guttulate gather at both ends, hyaline, thick-walled, smooth, 6.2–8.8 × 3.6–4.7 µm (mean ± SD = 7.7 ± 0.6 × 4 ± 0.3 µm, n = 30). Sexual morph unknown.

Culture characteristics. Colonies on PDA after 14 days of cultivation in the dark at 25 °C, reaching 81–85 mm in diam., with a growth rate of 5.8–6.1 mm/day; from above: white, medium aerial mycelium, slightly higher at the center, circular, radial, flat; reverse: pale orange in the middle, orange in the edges. Colonies on OA after 14 days of cultivation in the dark at 25 °C, reaching 72–77 mm in diam., had a growth rate of 5.1–5.5 mm/day; from above: white, sparse aerial mycelium, black pycnidia formed in the center, flat; reverse: similar in color.

Additional material studied. China • Yunnan Province: Pu'er City, Yixiang Town, Pu'er Sun River Forest Park, on diseased leaves of *Cinnamomum verum* (Lauraceae), 22.593953°N, 101.086217°E, 1596.44 m asl., 15 May 2024, D.H. Li, HSAUP 8877-7, living culture SAUCC 8877-7.

Notes. Phylogenetic analyses showed that *Coniella veri* formed an independent clade (Fig. 1) and was closely related to *C. cili* (GUCC 194020.1 and GUCC 196007.1). *Coniella veri* can be distinguished from *C. cili* (GUCC 196007.1) by 31/597, 8/791, 52/869, and 125/516 base-pair differences in ITS, LSU, *rpb2*, and *tef1-α* sequences, respectively. Morphologically, the conidiogenous cells



Figure 5. *Coniella veri* (CGMCC3.27787) **a** leaves of *Cinnamomum verum* **b, c** surface and reverse sides of colony after 14 days on PDA (**b**) and OA (**c**) **d** conidiomata forming on OA **e, f** conidiophores and conidiogenous cells with developing conidia **g, h** conidia. Scale bars: 10 μm (**e–h**).

of *C. veri* (9.5–17.5 × 1.2–2.5 μm) are shorter than those of *C. cili* (13–23.5 × 1–2 μm); the conidia of *C. veri* (6.2–8.8 × 3.6–4.7 μm) are shorter than those of *C. cili* (5.5–17.5 × 2.5–5 μm); the conidial shape of *C. veri* is elliptical to fusiform, whereas the conidial size and shape of *C. cili* exhibit considerable variation, including limoniform, fusoid, clavate, cylindrical, and elongated elliptical forms (Zhang et al. 2024b). Therefore, we describe our collection as a novel species.

Discussion

Coniella species have a worldwide distribution, reported in countries across all continents (Van Niekerk et al. 2004; Alvarez et al. 2016). They have been found in Asia (e.g., China, India, Indonesia, Malaysia, South Korea, and Thailand), Europe (e.g.,

Belgium, Denmark, France, Italy, the Netherlands, Switzerland, and Spain), Africa (e.g., Ivory Coast, South Africa, and Zambia), the Americas (e.g., the United States, Brazil, Peru, Jamaica, and Chile), and Oceania (e.g., Australia). These countries, ranging from landlocked nations such as Zambia and Switzerland to coastal countries like China, Brazil, and Australia, as well as island nations including Jamaica and Indonesia, are geographically diverse. They are distributed on both sides of the equator and span multiple climatic zones, from tropical to frigid, coastal to inland, and plain to mountain, encompassing diverse climate types such as tropical, temperate, and alpine. Many countries, including most of Africa, northern Brazil, Indonesia, and Malaysia, have tropical climates with high temperatures and abundant precipitation year-round. China, with its vast territory, large latitudinal span, wide longitudinal extent, and complex and diverse topography, nearly covers all major climate types, providing favorable conditions for the formation of *Coniella* species diversity (Castlebury et al. 2002; Van Niekerk et al. 2004; Alvarez et al. 2016; Raudabaugh et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2022; Mu et al. 2024; Zhang et al. 2024b).

Currently, *Coniella* has accepted 66 species, many of which were introduced solely based on morphological studies (Index Fungorum: https://indexfungorum.org; MycoBank: http://www.mycobank.org; Alvarez et al. 2016; Mu et al. 2024). Morphological characteristics of some conidia are highly similar and can be classified into two categories: one comprises olivaceous brown to brown conidia that are ellipsoid or globose, while the other category consists of hyaline conidia that are fusiform or clavate, often with very similar shapes and sizes. Rendering precise identification of *Coniella* species difficult solely on morphological characteristics (Crous et al. 2014a). Consequently, there is a strong current trend towards integrating morphological and molecular methods to assess or clarify the taxonomic placement and phylogenetic relationships of *Coniella* species (Alvarez et al. 2016). Based on phylogenetic analyses of ITS, LSU, and *tef1-α* sequence data, Van Niekerk et al. (2004) demonstrated that *Coniella* represents a distinct evolutionary lineage within the Diaporthales (Van Niekerk et al. 2004). Based on phylogenetic analyses of ITS, LSU, *rpb2*, and *tef1-α* sequence data, Alvarez et al. (2016) conducted a taxonomic revision of the genus. Since then, phylogenetic analyses of *Coniella* have largely continued to use these four genetic loci (Alvarez et al. 2016).

According to previous studies, *Coniella* species have been recorded as plant pathogens, endophytes, and saprobes (Samuels et al. 1993; Ferreira et al. 1997; Alvarez et al. 2016; Chethana et al. 2017). Their hosts encompass multiple categories, including plants (such as trees, shrubs, herbs, and ferns), animal excreta, and soils (Crous et al. 2015b; Alvarez et al. 2016). In recent years, several *Coniella* species have been reported and described in China. For example, Fröhlich and Hyde (2000) discovered *C. calamicola* on both living and dead leaves of *Daemonorops margaritae* in Hong Kong. Chen et al. (2014) first reported that *C. granati* can cause fruit rot and twig blight in pomegranate (*Punica granatum*) in Anhui Province. Chethana et al. (2017) reported that *C. vitis* is the pathogenic fungus causing white rot in grapes (*Vitis vinifera*) in Beijing Municipality, Guangxi, Hebei, Henan, and Jilin Provinces. Tennakoon et al. (2021) isolated a new species, *C. fici*, from dead leaves of *Ficus septica* (Moraceae) on the island of Taiwan. Wang et al. (2022) isolated a new species, *C. castanea*, from symptomatic leaves of *Castanea mollissima* (Fagaceae) in an orchard in Shandong Province. Mu et al. (2024) isolated a new species, *C. fujianensis*, from dis-

eased leaves of *Canarium album* (Burseraceae) in Fujian Province. Zhang et al. (2024b) isolated the endophytic species *C. cili* from healthy fruits and seeds of *Rosa roxburghii* (Rosaceae) in Guizhou Province.

During a continuous survey of terrestrial plant fungi in certain regions of southern China, four new species of *Coniella* were discovered from diseased leaf tissues of infected plants in Fujian, Hainan, and Yunnan provinces. These new species are named *Coniella diaoluoshanensis*, *C. dongshanlingensis*, *C. grossedentatae*, and *C. veri*. Among them, *C. grossedentatae* utilizes *Ampelopsis grossedentata* (Vitaceae) as its host. Van Niekerk et al. (2004) have previously reported species of *C. diplodiopsis* isolated from *Vitis vinifera* (Vitaceae) collected in Italy. In contrast, *C. diaoluoshanensis*, *C. dongshanlingensis*, and *C. veri* are the first reports that are associated with the hosts *Kadsura longipedunculata*, *Lygodium circinnatum*, and *Cinnamomum verum*, respectively. This will further broaden the host range of *Coniella* species and contribute to the fields of plant pathology and fungal taxonomy. With the increasing number of *Coniella* species, we believe that comprehensive research on this genus will uncover more hidden *Coniella* species from terrestrial plants.

Additional information

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Ethical statement

No ethical statement was reported.

Funding

This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (nos. 32370001, 32270024, 31900014, U2002203), the Key Technological Innovation Program of Shandong Province, China (no. 2022CXGC020710), the Jinan City's 'New University 20 Policies' Initiative for Innovative Research Teams Project (no. 202228028) and the Innovative Agricultural Application Technology Project of Jinan City (no. CX202210).

Author contributions

Sampling, molecular biology analysis: Duhua Li and Zixu Dong; fungal isolation: Qiyun Liu and Yaling Wang; description and phylogenetic analysis: Duhua Li and Zhaoxue Zhang; microscopy: Duhua Li and Jiwen Xia; writing-original draft preparation: Duhua Li; writing-review and editing: Xiuguo Zhang and Jiwen Xia. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author ORCIDs

Duhua Li https://orcid.org/0009-0006-5200-2034
Qiyun Liu https://orcid.org/0009-0009-9545-7962
Zhaoxue Zhang https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4824-9716
Xiuguo Zhang https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9733-8494
Jiwen Xia https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7436-7249

Data availability

All of the data that support the findings of this study are available in the main text.

References

Alvarez LV, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW (2016) Revising the Schizoparmaceae: *Coniella* and its synonyms *Pilidiella* and *Schizoparme*. Studies in Mycology 85(1): 1–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simyco.2016.09.001

Carbone I, Kohn LM (1999) A method for designing primer sets for speciation studies in filamentous ascomycetes. Mycologia 91(3): 553–556. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.1999.12061051

Castlebury LA, Rossman AY, Jaklitsch WJ, Vasilyeva LN (2002) A preliminary overview of the Diaporthales based on large subunit nuclear ribosomal DNA sequences. Mycologia 94(6): 1017–1031. https://doi.org/10.1080/15572536.2003.11833157

Chen Y, Shao DD, Zhang AF, Yang X, Zhou MG, Xu YL (2014) First report of a fruit rot and twig blight on Pomegranate (*Punica granatum*) caused by *Pilidiella granati* in Anhui Province of China. Plant Disease 98(5): 695. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-09-13-1012-PDN

Chethana KWT, Zhou Y, Zhang W, Liu M, Xing QK, Li XH, Yan JY, Chethana KWT, Hyde KD (2017) *Coniella vitis* sp. nov. is the common pathogen of white rot in Chinese vineyards. Plant Disease 101(12): 2123–2136. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-12-16-1741-RE

Crous PW, Summerell BA, Shivas RG, Burgess TI, Decock CA, Dreyer LL, Granke LL, Guest DI, Hardy GE, Hausbeck MK, Hüberli D, Jung T, Koukol O, Lennox CL, Liew EC, Lombard L, McTaggart AR, Pryke JS, Roets F, Saude C, Shuttleworth LA, Stukely MJ, Vánky K, Webster BJ, Windstam ST, Groenewald JZ (2012) Fungal Planet description sheets: 107–127. Persoonia 28(1): 138–182. https://doi.org/10.3767/003158512X652633

Crous PW, Giraldo A, Hawksworth DL, Robert V, Kirk PM, Guarro J, Robbertse B, Schoch CL, Damm U, Trakunyingcharoen T, Groenewald JZ (2014a) The Genera of Fungi: Fixing the application of type species of generic names. IMA Fungus 5(1): 141–160. https://doi.org/10.5598/imafungus.2014.05.01.14

Crous PW, Shivas RG, Quaedvlieg W, van der Bank M, Zhang Y, Summerell BA, Guarro J, Wingfield MJ, Wood AR, Alfenas AC, Braun U, Cano-Lira JF, García D, Marin-Felix Y, Alvarado P, Andrade JP, Armengol J, Assefa A, den Breeÿen A, Camele I, Cheewangkoon R, De Souza JT, Duong TA, Esteve-Raventós F, Fournier J, Frisullo S, García-Jiménez J, Gardiennet A, Gené J, Hernández-Restrepo M, Hirooka Y, Hospenthal DR, King A, Lechat C, Lombard L, Mang SM, Marbach PAS, Marincowitz S, Marin-Felix Y, Montaño-Mata NJ, Moreno G, Perez CA, Pérez Sierra AM, Robertson JL, Roux J, Rubio E, Schumacher RK, Stchigel AM, Sutton DA, Tan YP, Thompson EH, Vanderlinde E, Walker AK, Walker DM, Wickes BL, Wong PTW, Groenewald JZ (2014b) Fungal Planet description sheets: 214–280. Persoonia 32(1): 184–306. https://doi.org/10.3767/003158514X682395

Crous PW, Wingfield MJ, Guarro J, Hernández-Restrepo M, Sutton DA, Acharya K, Barber PA, Boekhout T, Dimitrov RA, Dueñas M, Dutta AK, Gené J, Gouliamova DE, Groenewald M, Lombard L, Morozova OV, Sarkar J, Smith MT, Stchigel AM, Wiederhold NP, Alexandrova AV, Antelmi I, Armengol J, Barnes I, Cano-Lira JF, Ruiz RFC, Contu M, Courtecuisse PR, da Silveira AL, Decock CA, de Goes A, Edathodu J, Ercole E, Firmino AC, Fourie A, Fournier J, Furtado EL, Geering ADW, Gershenzon J, Giraldo A, Gramaje D, Hammerbacher A, He XL, Haryadi D, Khemmuk W, Kovalenko AE, Krawczynski R, Laich F, Lechat C, Lopes UP, Madrid H, Malysheva EF, Marín-Felix Y, Martín MP, Mostert L, Nigro F, Pereira OL, Picillo B, Pinho DB, Popov ES, Peláez CAR, Rooney-Latham S, Sandoval-Denis M, Shivas RG, Silva V, Stoilova-Disheva MM, Telleria MT, Ullah C, Unsicker SB, van der Merwe NA, Vizzini A, Wagner HG, Wong PTW, Wood AR, Groenewald JZ (2015a) Fungal Planet description sheets: 320–370. Persoonia 34(1): 167–266. https://doi.org/10.3767/003158515X688433

Crous PW, Schumacher RK, Wingfield MJ, Lombard L, Giraldo A, Christensen M, Gardiennet A, Nakashima C, Pereira OL, Smith AJ, Groenewald JZ (2015b) Fungal systematics and evolution: FUSE 1. Sydowia 67: 81–118. https://doi.org/10.12905/0380.sydowia67-2015-0081

Crous PW, Wingfield MJ, Burgess TI, Carnegie AJ, Hardy GESJ, Smith D, Summerell BA, Cano-Lira JF, Guarro J, Houbraken J, Lombard L, Martín MP, Sandoval-Denis M, Alexandrova AV, Barnes CW, Baseia IG, Bezerra JDP, Guarnaccia V, May TW, Hernández-Restrepo M, Stchigel AM, Miller AN, Ordoñez ME, Abreu VP, Accioly T, Agnello C, Agustin Colmán A, Albuquerque CC, Alfredo DS, Alvarado P, Araújo-Magalhães GR, Arauzo S, Atkinson T, Barili A, Barreto RW, Bezerra JL, Cabral TS, Camello Rodríguez F, Cruz RHSF, Daniëls PP, da Silva BDB, de Almeida DAC, de Carvalho Júnior AA, Decock CA, Delgat L, Denman S, Dimitrov RA, Edwards J, Fedosova AG, Ferreira RJ, Firmino AL, Flores JA, García D, Gené J, Giraldo A, Góis JS, Gomes AAM, Gonçalves CM, Gouliamova DE, Groenewald M, Guéorguiev BV, Guevara-Suarez M, Gusmão LFP, Hosaka K, Hubka V, Huhndorf SM, Jadan M, Jurjević Ž, Kraak B, Kučera V, Kumar TKA, Kušan I, Lacerda SR, Lamlertthon S, Lisboa WS, Loizides M, Luangsa-Ard JJ, Lysková P, Mac Cormack WP, Macedo DM, Machado AR, Malysheva EF, Marinho P, Matočec N, Meijer M, Mešić A, Mongkolsamrit S, Moreira KA, Morozova OV, Nair KU, Nakamura N, Noisripoom W, Olariaga I, Oliveira RJV, Paiva LM, Pawar P, Pereira OL, Peterson SW, Prieto M, Rodríguez-Andrade E, Rojo De Blas C, Roy M, Santos ES, Sharma R, Silva GA, Souza-Motta CM, Takeuchi-Kaneko Y, Tanaka C, Thakur A, Smith MT, Tkalčec Z, Valenzuela-Lopez N, van der Kleij P, Verbeken A, Viana MG, Wang XW, Groenewald JZ (2017) Fungal Planet description sheets: 625–715. Persoonia 39: 270–467. https://doi.org/10.3767/persoonia.2017.39.11

Crous PW, Luangsa-Ard JJ, Wingfield MJ, Carnegie AJ, Hernández-Restrepo M, Lombard L, Roux J, Barreto RW, Baseia IG, Cano-Lira JF, Martín MP, Morozova OV, Stchigel AM, Summerell BA, Brandrud TE, Dima B, García D, Giraldo A, Guarro J, Gusmão LFP, Khamsuntorn P, Noordeloos ME, Nuankaew S, Pinruan U, Rodríguez-Andrade E, Souza-Motta CM, Thangavel R, van Iperen AL, Abreu VP, Accioly T, Alves JL, Andrade JP, Bahram M, Baral HO, Barbier E, Barnes CW, Bendiksen E, Bernard E, Bezerra JDP, Bezerra JL, Bizio E, Blair JE, Bulyonkova TM, Cabral TS, Caiafa MV, Cantillo T, Colmán AA, Conceição LB, Cruz S, Cunha AOB, Darveaux BA, da Silva AL, da Silva GA, da Silva GM, da Silva RMF, de Oliveira RJV, Oliveira RL, De Souza JT, Dueñas M, Evans HC, Epifani F, Felipe MTC, Fernández-López J, Ferreira BW, Figueiredo CN, Filippova NV, Flores JA, Gené J, Ghorbani G, Gibertoni TB, Glushakova AM, Healy R, Huhndorf SM, Iturrieta-González I, Javan-Nikkhah M, Juciano RF, Jurjević Ž, Kachalkin AV, Keochanpheng K, Krisai-Greilhuber I, Li YC, Lima AA, Machado AR, Madrid H, Magalhães OMC, Marbach PAS, Melanda GCS, Miller AN, Mongkolsamrit S, Nascimento RP, Oliveira TGL, Ordoñez ME, Orzes R, Palma MA, Pearce CJ, Pereira OL, Perrone G, Peterson SW, Pham THG, Piontelli E, Pordel A, Quijada L, Raja HA, Rosas de Paz E, Ryvarden L, Saitta A, Salcedo SS, Sandoval-Denis M, Santos TAB, Seifert KA, Silva BDB, Smith ME, Soares AM, Sommai S, Sousa JO, Suetrong S, Susca A, Tedersoo L, Telleria MT, Thanakitpipattana D, Valenzuela-Lopez N, Visagie CM, Zapata M, Groenewald JZ (2018) Fungal Planet description sheets: 785–867. Persoonia 41(1): 238–417. https://doi.org/10.3767/persoonia.2018.41.12

Crous PW, Carnegie AJ, Wingfield MJ, Sharma R, Mughini G, Noordeloos ME, Santini A, Shouche YS, Bezerra JDP, Dima B, Guarnaccia V, Imrefi I, Jurjević Ž, Knapp DG, Kovács GM, Magistà D, Perrone G, Rämä T, Rebriev YA, Shivas RG, Singh SM, Souza-Motta CM, Thangavel R, Adhapure NN, Alexandrova AV, Alfenas AC, Alfenas RF,

Alvarado P, Alves AL, Andrade DA, Andrade JP, Barbosa RN, Barili A, Barnes CW, Baseia IG, Bellanger JM, Berlanas C, Bessette AE, Bessette AR, Biketova AYu, Bomfim FS, Brandrud TE, Bransgrove K, Brito ACQ, Cano-Lira JF, Cantillo T, Cavalcanti AD, Cheewangkoon R, Chikowski RS, Conforto C, Cordeiro TRL, Craine JD, Cruz R, Damm U, de Oliveira RJV, de Souza JT, de Souza HG, Dearnaley JDW, Dimitrov RA, Dovana F, Erhard A, Esteve-Raventós F, Félix CR, Ferisin G, Fernandes RA, Ferreira RJ, Ferro LO, Figueiredo CN, Frank JL, Freire KTLS, García D, Gené J, Gęsiorska A, Gibertoni TB, Gondra RAG, Gouliamova DE, Gramaje D, Guard F, Gusmão LFP, Haitook S, Hirooka Y, Houbraken J, Hubka V, Inamdar A, Iturriaga T, Iturrieta-González I, Jadan M, Jiang N, Justo A, Kachalkin AV, Kapitonov VI, Karadelev M, Karakehian J, Kasuya T, Kautmanová I, Kruse J, Kušan I, Kuznetsova TA, Landell MF, Larsson K-H, Lee HB, Lima DX, Lira CRS, Machado AR, Madrid H, Magalhães OMC, Majerova H, Malysheva EF, Mapperson RR, Marbach PAS, Martín MP, Martín-Sanz A, Matočec N, McTaggart AR, Mello JF, Melo RFR, Mešić A, Michereff SJ, Miller AN, Minoshima A, Molinero-Ruiz L, Morozova OV, Mosoh D, Nabe M, Naik R, Nara K, Nascimento SS, Neves RP, Olariaga I, Oliveira RL, Oliveira TGL, Ono T, Ordoñez ME de M, Ottoni A, Paiva LM, Pancorbo F, Pant B, Pawłowska J, Peterson SW, Raudabaugh DB, Rodríguez-Andrade E, Rubio E, Rusevska K, Santiago ALCMA, Santos ACS, Santos C, Sazanova NA, Shah S, Sharma J, Silva BDB, Siquier JL, Sonawane MS, Stchigel AM, Svetasheva T, Tamakeaw N, Telleria MT, Tiago PV, Tian CM, Tkalčec Z, Tomashevskaya MA, Truong HH, Vecherskii MV, Visagie CM, Vizzini A, Yilmaz N, Zmitrovich IV, Zvyagina EA, Boekhout T, Kehlet T, Læssøe T, Groenewald JZ (2019) Fungal Planet description sheets: 868–950. Persoonia 42: 291–473. https://doi.org/10.3767/persoonia.2019.42.11

Ferreira FA, Alfenas AC, Coelho L (1997) Portas-de-entrada para *Coniella fragariae* em folhas de eucalipto. Revista Árvore 21: 307–311.

Fröhlich J, Hyde KD (2000) Palm microfungi. Fungal Diversity Research Series 3: 1–375.

Guo LD, Hyde KD, Liew ECY (2000) Identification of endophytic fungi from *Livistona chinensis* based on morphology and rDNA sequences. The New Phytologist 147(3): 617–630. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2000.00716.x

Hyde KD, Norphanphoun C, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Bao DF, Bhat DJ, Boonmee S, Bundhun D, Calabon MS, Chaiwan N, Chen YJ, Chethana KWT, Dai DQ, Dayarathne MC, Devadatha B, Dissanayake AJ, Dissanayake LS, Doilom M, Dong W, Fan XL, Goonasekara ID, Hongsanan S, Huang SK, Jayawardena RS, Jeewon R, Jones EBG, Karunarathna A, Konta S, Kumar V, Lin CG, Liu JK, Liu N, Lu YZ, Luangsa-ard J, Lumyong S, Luo ZL, Marasinghe DS, McKenzie EHC, Niego AGT, Niranjan M, Perera RH, Phukhamsakda C, Rathnayaka AR, Samarakoon MC, Samarakoon SMBC, Sarma VV, Senanayake IC, Shang QJ, Stadler M, Tibpromma S, Wanasinghe DN, Wei DP, Wijayawardene NN, Xiao YP, Xiang MM, Yang J, Zeng XY, Zhang SN (2020) Refined families of Sordariomycetes. Mycosphere 11(1): 305–1059. https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/11/1/7

Jiang N, Fan XL, Tian CM, Crous PW (2020) Reevaluating Cryphonectriaceae and allied families in Diaporthales. Mycologia 112(2): 267–292. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.2019.1698925

Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD (2019) MAFFT online service: Multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice andvisualization. Briefings in Bioinformatics 20(4): 1160–1166. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbx108

Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K (2016) MEGA7: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Molecular Biology and Evolution 33(7): 1870–1874. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054

Letunic I, Bork P (2021) Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v5: An online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Research 49(1): 293–296. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab301

Li D, Zhang M, Zhang J, Ma L, Zhang Z, Zhang J, Zhang X, Xia J (2024) Three new microfungi (Ascomycota) species from southern China. MycoKeys 111: 87–110. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.111.136483

Liu YJ, Whelen S, Hall BD (1999) Phylogenetic Relationships among Ascomycetes: Evidence from an RNA polymerse II subunit. Molecular Biology and Evolution 16(12): 1799–1808. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026092

Marin-Felix Y, Groenewald JZ, Cai L, Chen Q, Marincowitz S, Barnes I, Bensch K, Braun U, Camporesi E, Damm U, de Beer ZW, Dissanayake A, Edwards J, Giraldo A, Hernández-Restrepo M, Hyde KD, Jayawardena RS, Lombard L, Luangsa-ard J, McTaggart AR, Rossman AY, Sandoval-Denis M, Shen M, Shivas RG, Tan YP, van der Linde EJ, Wingfield MJ, Wood AR, Zhang JQ, Zhang Y, Crous PW (2017) Genera of phytopathogenic fungi: GOPHY 1. Studies in Mycology 86(1): 99–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simyco.2017.04.002

McNeill J, Barrie FR, Buck WR, Demoulin V, Greuter W, Hawksworth DL, Herendeen PS, Knapp S, Marhold K, Prado J, Prud'homme van Reine WF, Smith GF, Wiersema JH, Turland NJ (2012) International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Melbourne Code). Regnum Vegetabile 154. ARG Gantner Verlag KG, 240 pp. http://www.iapt-taxon.org/nomen/main.php

Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T (2012) The CIPRES science gateway: Enabling high-impact science for phylogenetics researchers with limited resources. In Proceedings of the 1st Conference of the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment. Bridging from the Extreme to the Campus and Beyond, Chicago, IL, USA, Association for Computing Machinery, San Diego, CA, USA 39: 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1145/2335755.2335836

Miranda BEC, Barreto RW, Crous PW, Groenewald JZ (2012) *Pilidiella tibouchinae* sp. nov. associated with foliage blight of *Tibouchina granulosa* (quaresmeira) in Brazil. IMA Fungus 3(1): 1–7. https://doi.org/10.5598/imafungus.2012.03.01.01

Mu TC, Lin YS, Pu HL, Keyhani NO, Dang YX, Lv HJ, Zhao ZY, Heng ZA, Wu ZY, Xiong CJ, Lin LB, Chen YX, Su HL, Guan XY, Qiu JZ (2024) Molecular phylogenetic and estimation of evolutionary divergence and biogeography of the family Schizoparmaceae and allied families (Diaporthales, Ascomycota). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 201: 108211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2024.108211

Nag Raj TR (1993) Coelomycetous Anamorphs with Appendage-bearing Conidia. Mycologue Publications, Waterloo, Canada, 1101 pp. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-7562(09)80334-1

Nylander JAA (2004) MrModeltest v2. Program distributed by the author. Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University.

O'Donnell K, Kistler HC, Cigelnik E, Ploetz RC (1998) Multiple evolutionary origins of the fungus causing Panama disease of banana: Concordant evidence from nuclear and mitochondrial gene genealogies. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 95(5): 2044–2049. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.5.2044

Petrak F, Sydow H (1927) Die Gattungen der Pyrenomyzeten, Sphaeropsideen und Melanconieen. I. Der phaeosporen Sphaeropsideen und die Gattung Macrophoma. Feddes Repertorium Speciarum Novarum Regni Vegetabilum Beihefte 42: 1–551.

Rajeshkumar KC, Hepat RP, Gaikwad SB, Singh SK (2011) *Pilidiella crousii* sp. nov. from the northern Western Ghats, India. Mycotaxon 115(1): 155–162. https://doi.org/10.5248/115.155

Rannala B, Yang Z (1996) Probability distribution of molecular evolutionary trees: A new method of phylogenetic inference. Journal of Molecular Evolution 43(3): 304–311. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02338839

Raudabaugh DB, Iturriaga T, Carver A, Mondo S, Pangilinan J, Lipzen A, He G, Amirebrahimi M, Grigoriev IV, Miller AN (2018) *Coniella lustricola*, a new species from submerged detritus. Mycological Progress 17(1–2): 191–203. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-017-1337-6

Rehner SA, Samuels GJ (1994) Taxonomy and phylogeny of *Gliocladium* analysed from nuclear large subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. Mycological Research 98(6): 625–634. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-7562(09)80409-7

Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian Phylogenetic Inference and Model Choice Across a Large Model Space. Systematic Biology 61(3): 539–542. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029

Rossman AY, Farr DF, Castlebury LA (2007) A review of the phylogeny and biology of the Diaporthales. Mycoscience 48(3): 135–144. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10267-007-0347-7

Samuels GJ, Barr ME, Lowen R (1993) Revision of *Schizoparme* (Diaporthales, Melanconidaceae). Mycotaxon 46: 459–483.

Senanayake IC, Crous PW, Groenewald JZ, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Jeewon R, Phillips AJL, Bhat JD, Perera RH, Li QR, Li WJ, Tangthirasunun N, Norphanphoun C, Karunarathna SC, Camporesi E, Manawasighe IS, Al-Sadi AM, Hyde KD (2017) Families of Diaporthales based on morphological and phylogenetic evidence. Studies in Mycology 86(1): 217–296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simyco.2017.07.003

Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30(9): 1312–1313. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033

Sung GH, Sung JM, Hywel-Jones NL, Spatafora JW (2007) A multi-gene phylogeny of Clavicipitaceae (Ascomycota, Fungi): Identification of localized incongruence using a combinational bootstrap approach. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 44(3): 1204–1223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2007.03.011

Sutton BC (1977) Coelomycetes VI. Nomenclature of generic names proposed for Coelomycetes. Mycological Papers 141: 1–253.

Sutton BC (1980) The Coelomycetes. Fungi imperfecti with pycnidia, acervuli and stromata. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, UK. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03213663

Tennakoon DS, Kuo CH, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Thambugala KM, Gentekaki E, Phillips AJL, Bhat DJ, Wanasinghe DN, de Silva NI, Promputtha I, Hyde KD (2021) Taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions to *Celtis formosana*, *Ficus ampelas*, *F. septica*, *Macaranga tanarius* and *Morus australis* leaf litter inhabiting microfungi. Fungal Diversity 108(8): 1–215. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-021-00474-w

Van Niekerk JM, Groenewald JZE, Verkley GJM, Fourie PH, Wingfield MJ, Crous PW (2004) Systematic reappraisal of *Coniella* and *Pilidiella*, with specific reference to species occurring on *Eucalyptus* and *Vitis* in South Africa. Mycological Research 108(3): 283–303. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0953756204009268

Vilgalys R, Hester M (1990) Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several *Cryptococcus* species. Journal of Bacteriology 172(8): 4238–4246. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.172.8.4238-4246.1990

Von Arx JA (1973) Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures Baarn and Delft. Progress Report 1972. Verhandelingen der Koninklijke Nederlandsche Akademie van Wetenschappen. Afdeling Natuurkunde 61: 59–81.

Von Arx JA (1981) The genera of fungi sporulating in pure culture, 3rd edn. J Cramer, Vaduz.

Von Höhnel F (1918) Dritte vorlaufige Mitteilung mycologischer Ergebnisse (Nr. 201–304). Berichte der Deutschen Botanischen Gesellschaft 36(6): 309–317. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.1918.tb07278.x

Wang S, Mu TC, Liu RY, Liu SB, Zhang ZX, Xia JW, Li Z, Zhang XG (2022) *Coniella castanea* sp. nov. on *Castanea mollissima* from Shandong Province, China. Phytotaxa 559(1): 025–034. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.559.1.3

Wang S, Liu XM, Xiong CL, Gao SS, Xu WM, Zhao LL, Song CY, Liu XY, James TY, Li Z, Zhang XG (2023) ASF1 regulates asexual and sexual reproduction in Stemphylium eturmiunum by DJ-1 stimulation of the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway. Fungal Diversity 123(1): 159–176. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-023-00528-1

White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ (Eds) PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications. Academic Press, New York, 315–322. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1

Zhang ZX, Liu XY, Tao MF, Liu XY, Xia JW, Zhang XG, Meng Z (2023) Taxonomy, phylogeny, divergence time estimation, and biogeography of the family Pseudoplagiostomataceae (Ascomycota, Diaporthales). Journal of Fungi 9(1): 82. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof9010082

Zhang ZX, Shang YX, Zhang MY, Zhang JJ, Geng Y, Xia JW, Zhang XG (2024a) Phylogenomics, taxonomy and morphological characters of the Microdochiaceae (Xylariales, Sordariomycetes). MycoKeys 106: 303–325. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.106.127355

Zhang H, Mao YT, Ma MX, Tao GC, Wei TP, Jiang YL (2024b) Culturable endophytic Sordariomycetes from *Rosa roxburghii*: New species and lifestyles. Journal of Systematics and Evolution 62(4): 637–676. https://doi.org/10.1111/jse.13035

Zhaxybayeva O, Gogarten JP (2002) Bootstrap, Bayesian probability and maximum likelihood mapping: Exploring new tools for comparative genome analyses. BMC Genomics 3(1): 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-3-4